

**MINUTES OF PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES SUBCOMMITTEE
DIVISION 1-2 SUBCOMMITTEE**

March 29, 2006

Subcommittee Members Present were: Joey Goforth, Chair, Alex James, Stan Gailey, E. Michael Jackson, William “Bill” Wiseman, Mark Faulk (proxy for Brett Harrelson).

Others present were: Michael James, Steven Jenkins, Shonda Pooser

Absent were: Dr. Rhett Dean, Mark Faulk, Scott Carlin, Michael Criss, Brian Deichman, Ken Coffey, Raymond Perkins, Darrell Watts, John Kent

OPENING

Joey Goforth opened the SC Public School Facilities Division 1-2 Subcommittee meeting by welcoming everyone. He permitted Mr. James declare a quorum.

DISCUSSION/BUSINESS

Mr. James began discussion of the posting in the State Register. He informed the subcommittee that a Notice of General Public Interest was posted in the State Register and published March 24, 2006, therefore, the 2006 Guide became effective on that date.

Mr. James informed the subcommittee that Section 102.2, 102.3 and Section 114.2, where the Guide is updated annually to show when the statute was codified, does not need to be changed in the Guide because it is updated in the State Register. After some discussion the committee felt it should still be updated to both the Guide and the Register. Upon viewing the Guide, Mr. Jenkins pointed out that Section 102.5 referenced the 1983 Guide but should be updated because four cycles of the Guide has been adopted. Mr. James made the Motion to delete Section 102.5. Mr. Wiseman Seconded the Motion, which unanimously carried.

Mr. James made a proposal to add clarification to when existing buildings and vacant buildings require code updates by incorporating the Fire Marshal’s standards to Section 105.1 and by replacing Section 106.7 with a new Section 105.2. This is an effort to broaden the copy showing when building requires code updates. Mr. Goforth voiced concern that including the Fire Marshal’s standard would supersede the International Building Code. Discussion ensued regarding whether to reference the insured value, replacement value or appraised market value of the existing building in this Guide. After lengthy discussion, Mr. James offered to discuss the issue further with the Fire Marshal. The subcommittee agreed to table this issue until more information is received. Mr. James made the Motion, Mr. Jackson Seconded, motion carried.

Mr. James recommended that Section 107.1 be changed to read...(based on editions in effect at the time of the initial plan submittal...) and removing the words “submission of design development drawings.” There was a brief discussion and the committee decided

to leave Section 107.1 as is and clarify the memorandum. Mr. James made the Motion, Mr. Wiseman Seconded, Motion carried.

Mr. James recommended removing Section 107.1.7 because the reference to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) should not be in this Guide, only the ANSI standard. Mr. James made the Motion to delete Section 107.1.7 and replace it with the following: **“ANSI A117.1.”** Mr. Jackson Seconded the Motion, which unanimously carried.

Under Section 107.3.1.4, Mr. James made the Motion to add the words “adult education centers (no students will occupy at any time)” between the words offices and or in the first sentence with regard to Type V constructions. After brief discussion, Mr. James made the Motion to table the discussion regarding no student occupying and changing Section 107.3.1.4 to read, “For one-story buildings serving as district administration offices, **adult education centers**, or press boxes.” Mr. Wiseman Seconded the Motion. Motion carried.

Mr. Faulk made the proposal to change Section 204.2.1 by removing the word “shall” in the first sentence and change it to **“are recommended to”** because preliminary roadway cost estimates have not been asked for so it should not be a requirement, only a recommendation. Mr. James made the Motion, Mr. Wiseman seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Faulk also made the recommendation to change Section 204.1 by adding a sentence to read as follows: “Roadway improvements requisite to access requirements and adequate to address any potential safety hazards are the responsibility of the school district. **The SCDOT shall be consulted for roadway improvements whenever stacking loops or access to a school is modified, or whenever a new school is planned, or and addition is planned that increases the number of students.** Access to a school site...” After a lengthy discussion, Mr. Faulk made the Motion, seconded by Mr. James, Motion carried.

Lastly, Mr. Wiseman requested that the issue regarding obtaining building permits from OSF before bidding be submitted to Division 6 for discussion. Mr. James invited anyone interested to attend the Division 6-9 subcommittee meeting to voice any concerns.

ADJOURNMENT

The subcommittee adjourned at 11:43 a.m.